

Bournda Downs Planning Proposal			
Proposal Title :	Bournda Downs Planning Pro	posal	
Proposal Summary :		ns, Sapphire Coast Drive, Walla and E4 Environmental Living Zo	agoot, to RU2 Rural Landscape, E3 ones.
PP Number :	PP_2016_BEGAV_003_00	Dop File No :	16/09397
Proposal Details			
Date Planning Proposal Received :	05-Jul-2016	LGA covered :	Bega Valley
Region :	Southern	RPA :	Bega Valley Shire Council
State Electorate :	BEGA	Section of the Act	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Precinct		
Location Details			
Street : Sa	apphire Coast Drive		
Suburb : W	allagoot City :	Bega	Postcode : 2550
Land Parcel : Lo	ot 34 DP 875572, Lot 42 DP 815711	1 & Lot 85 DP 750194	
DoP Planning Off	icer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Meredith McIntyre		
Contact Number :	0262297912		
Contact Email :	meredith.mcintyre@planning.n	sw.gov.au	
RPA Contact Deta	ails		
Contact Name :	Derek Van Bracht		
Contact Number :	0264992428		
Contact Email :	dvanbracht@begavalley.nsw.g	ov.au	
DoP Project Manager Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Deanne Frankel		
Contact Number :	0242249468		
Contact Email :	deanne.frankel@planning.nsw	.gov.au	
Land Release Dat	ta		
Growth Centre	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	South Coast Regional Strategy	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes

Bournda Downs Planning Proposal MDP Number : Date of Release : Type of Release (eg Area of Release (Ha) Residential / Employment land) : No. of Lots : n No. of Dwellings 37 (where relevant) : 0 0 Gross Floor Area : No of Jobs Created : The NSW Government Yes Lobbvists Code of Conduct has been complied with : If No, comment : No Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? If Yes, comment : Supporting notes Internal Supporting Notes : External Supporting The planning proposal will resolve the zoning of 346ha of land deferred from Bega Valley Notes : LEP 2013. The planning proposal identifies a range of zones and lot sizes that respect the area's physical attributes and constraints. Land to be zoned RU2 Rural Landscape and E3 Environmental Management will have a minimum lot size of 40ha which supports the agricultural productivity and environmental values and enables a maximum potential of 7 lots. Land to be zoned E4 Environmental Living will apply to cleared and/or less environmentally constrained land and its 2ha minimum lot size will enable a maximum potential of 30 lots. The ability to apply lot averaging has the potential to further protect environmental attributes. The planning proposal represents a balance between agriculture, environmental management and small lot rural living. Adequacy Assessment Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a) Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes Apply appropriate zones and minimum lot sizes to the land at Bournda Downs to reflect its Comment : values for environmental, rural and rural residential purposes. Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :	The proposal will amend the relevant map sheets to:
	 zone the land RU2 Rural Landscape, E3 Environmental Management and E4
	Environmental Living;
	 identify minimum lot sizes of 40ha for RU2 and E3 and 2ha for E4 zoned land.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

* May need the Director General's agreement

1.2 Rural Zones

1.5 Rural Lands

- 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries
- _
- 2.1 Environment Protection Zones
- 2.3 Heritage Conservation
- 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils
- 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
- 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

DEFERRED MATTER

The land is currently zoned Rural 1(c) under Bega Valley LEP 2002 and was exhibited as part of the preparation of Bega Valley LEP 2013 and subsequently deferred from that LEP. This planning proposal will improve environmental and rural outcomes for the land.

S117 DIRECTIONS

1.2 Rural Zones: This Direction applies as it is proposed to change a rural zone and introduce a new rural zone. Council has indicated that the planning proposal is inconsistent with the Direction because it rezones an existing Rural 1(c) rural residential area to E4 Environmental Living Zone. However, the planning proposal can be considered justifiably inconsistent with the Direction because:

• it does not rezone any of the land to a residential, business, industrial, village or tourist zone;

• it does not include provisions that increase permissible density in a rural zone. The existing rural zone is in effect a rural residential zone over 322ha with a minimum lot size of 5,000m2 and the potential for in excess of 120 lots. The proposed environmental protection and rural zones will increase the minimum lot sizes to 40ha and 2 ha and reduce the potential lot yield to 37;

• the proposed rezoning is identified in Council's Draft Rural Living Strategy;

it is of minor significance.

Recommendation: The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the inconsistencies with s117 Direction 1.2 are justified by Bega Valley Council's Draft Rural Living Strategy and/or are of minor significance.

1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries: This Direction applies as there is an existing extractive industry on part of the site. However, the planning proposal's inconsistencies with the Direction can be considered to be of minor significance because:

• the previous development consent has expired and there only remains a small amount of previously extracted material to be removed;

• Council will consult the NSW Department of Industry (Resources and Energy) during the public consultation process.

Recommendation: The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the inconsistencies with s117 Direction 1.3 are of minor significance and support Council's decision to consult with the NSW Department of Industry (Resources and Energy).

1.5 Rural Lands: The planning proposal can be considered to be consistent with the

Direction because it is consistent with the Rural Planning Principles and Rural
Subdivision Principles of the Rural Lands SEPP specifically: • promotes and protects opportunities for productive and sustainable activities;
 recognises the importance of rural lands and agriculture and its social and economic benefits;
 balances social, economic and environmental interests;
identifies and protects natural resources;
 provides opportunities for rural lifestyle, settlement and housing; considers the impact on services and infrastructure through a rural settlement strategy;
 is consistent with the South Coast Regional Strategy.
In addition the planning proposal: • reduces the potential for rural fragmentation in this area;
 is strategic in the location of living areas and rural areas to reduce the potential for conflict;
 establishes lot sizes to reflect the desired outcomes of agricultural and living opportunities;
• applies zones to reflect the attributes of the land.
Recommendation: The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with the Direction in achieving the planning and subdivision principles of the Rural Lands SEPP or that any inconsistencies are of minor significance.
2.1 Environment Protection Zones: The planning proposal is consistent with this Direction in that it applies zones that reflect and provide improved protection for the environmental values of the land.
Recommendation: The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with the Direction.
2.3 Heritage Conservation: The planning proposal is consistent with the Direction in that the Bega Valley LEP 2013 includes standard heritage provisions for the consideration and protection of heritage items, Aboriginal places and objects.
Recommendation: The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with the Direction.
4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils: The planning proposal is consistent with this Direction in that the Bega Valley LEP 2013 contains the standard acid sulfate soil provisions. In addition the land identified as potentially containing acid sulfate soils is to be included in an RU2 Rural Landscape Zone with a 40ha minimum lot size. Any development likely to disturb subsurface soils can be easily directed away from potential acid sulfate soils.
Recommendation: The Secretary can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with the Direction.
 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection: This Direction does apply to the planning proposal as it is in close proximity to land mapped as bushfire prone land. The planning proposal will be consistent with this Direction as the Council has indicated: It will consult with the NSW Rural Fire Service under s56 of the Act and take into
account any comments made; • Development of the land will comply with the provisions contained in 'Planning for Bushfire Protection 2006'.
Recommendation: The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the requirements of the Direction will be met once consultation with the NSW Rural Fire Service has occurred and its comments have been taken into account.
5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies: The planning proposal is consistent with thisDirection as it achieves the broad requirements of the South Coast Regional Strategy:Rezoning and more intense development is not located on agricultural land. It actually

urnda Downs Plann	ing Froposal	
	significantly reduces potential densities on rural land; • The proposal reduces the potential of intensity of development within the Wallagoot Lake catchment, a lake identified for significant protection in the strategy; • It zones land to E3 Environmental Management that forms part of a habitat corridor identified in the strategy.	
	Recommendation: The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with the South Coast Regional Strategy.	
	SEPPs The planning proposal is consistent with all applicable SEPPs.	
Have inconsistencies w	ith items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes	
If No, explain :		
Apping Provided -	s55(2)(d)	
Is mapping provided? Y	/es	
Comment :	The maps in the planning proposal are adequate for exhibition purposes with zones and minimum lot sizes overlaid on an aerial photograph of the site.	
	Recommendation: Council is to prepare maps in accordance with the 'Standard Technical Requirements for Spatial Datasets and Maps (Department of Planning and Environment 2015)' when the plan is submitted for finalisation.	
community consult	ation - s55(2)(e)	
Has community consult	ation been proposed? Yes	
Comment :	Bega Valley Shire Council did not nominate an exhibition period. However, it was considered low impact requiring exhibition for not less than 14 days.	
	Given that this area was deferred from Bega Valley LEP 2013 and that it represents a substantial reduction in the intensity of development exhibited with that plan and an even greater reduction in potential from its current zoning under Bega Valley LEP 2002 it is appropriate to exhibit the planning proposal for a period of at least 28 days.	
dditional Director	General's requirements	
Are there any additiona	I Director General's requirements? No	
If Yes, reasons :		
overall adequacy of	f the proposal	
Does the proposal mee	t the adequacy criteria? Yes	
If No, comment :		
posal Assessment		
rincipal LEP:		
Due Date :		
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	Bega Valley LEP 2013 This land was deferred after exhibition of Bega Valley LEP 2013 and remains zoned under	

۳,

Assessment Criteria

Assessment Chiena					
Need for planning proposal :	Valley LEP 2002. C	ouncil's sul	om Bega Valley LEP 2013 and bsequent investigations have ment intensity for the site bas	identified a substantial	
	The planning prope controls to reflect t			priate zones and development	
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	proposal is consist	tent with the		g Strategy 2016'. The planning to enable 37 lots in this locati Merimbula.	
	supports agricultu	re and prop ment is loc	oses development on disturb ated close to services and su	ast Regional Strategy in that it ed less viable land. Rural pports smaller communities a	
Environmental social economic impacts :	attributes of the lar	nd. The redu	nimum lot sizes protect enviro action in potential intensity of ricultural outcome.		
	Living Zone will pro the small settlemer flexibility to provid	ovide additi nt of Kalaru e buffers be	tial development opportunitie onal rural residential living op . The application of lot averag etween and to environmental onflicts will be managed.	ing provisions will provide	
	addition will furthe	r support se	rural residential development ervices that can be provided i d Bega (16km) provide highe		
Assessment Proces	S				
Proposal type :	Precinct		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	12 months		Delegation :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	Office of Environment and Heritage NSW Rural Fire Service Transport for NSW - Roads and Maritime Services Other				
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No			
(2)(a) Should the matter proceed ?		Yes			
If no, provide reasons					
Resubmission - s56(2)(b	o) : No				
If Yes, reasons :					
Identify any additional si	tudies, if required. 🗄				

If Other, provide reasons

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public	
Bournda Downs Bushfire Map.pdf	Мар	Yes	
Extract BVSC Draft rural Living Strategy.pdf	Study	Yes	
Bournda Downs Council Letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes	
Final Bournda_Downs_PP_July_2016.pdf	Proposal	Yes	
Bournda Downs Council Resolution 8 June 2016.pdf	Proposal	Yes	
Bournda Downs Council Report.pdf	Proposal	Yes	

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	 1.2 Rural Zones 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries 1.5 Rural Lands 2.1 Environment Protection Zones 2.3 Heritage Conservation 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
Additional Information :	The Acting Director Regions, Southern, as delegate of the Minister for Planning, determines under section 56(2) of the EP&A Act that an amendment to the Bega Valley Local Environmental Plan 2013 to rezone land at Bournda Downs to RU2 Rural Landscape, E3 Environmental Management and E4 Environmental Living, being land described as Lot 34 DP875572, Lot 42 DP815711and Lot 85 DP750194 Saphire Coast Drive, Walllagoot should proceed subject to the following conditions:
	1. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") as follows:
	(a) the planning proposal is to be made publicly available for 28 days; and (b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of 'A guide to preparing local environmental plans' (Department of Planning and Environment April 2013).
	2. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act:
	* NSW Rural Fire Service (s117 Direction 4.4); * Office of Environment and Heritage; * Transport for NSW - Roads and Maritime Services; * NSW Department of Industry (Resources and Energy).
	Each public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material. Each public authority is to be given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal, or to indicate that it will require additional time to comment

on the proposal. Public authorities may request additional information or additional matters to be addressed in the planning proposal. 3. No public hearing is required to be held into the matter under section 55(2)(e) of the EPSA Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example in response to a submission or if eclassifying land). 4. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 12 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination. 6. Council be authorised to use the Minister's plan making functions under sections 59(2), (3) 4 (0) of the Enrormental Planning and Assessment Act 1973. 6. SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS – It is recommended that: (a) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with sti77 Direction 15. Roral Lands 2, 12 Environmental Plannify Drotection 2, 22 Horitages (b) The planning proposal is potentially inconsistent with the s117 Direction 1, 22 Mull Zones, however, the Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the inconsistency is justified by Bega Valley Council's 'Draft Rural Living Strategy' and/or is of minor significance. (c) The planning proposal is potentially inconsistent with the s117 Direction 1, 24 Rural Zones, however, the Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with at the inconsistency is of minor significance and support Council's decision to consult with MSW Department of Industry (Resources and Energy). (c) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with at the inconsistency is delegate can be satisfied that the planning inconsistent with the S117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushtin		
 EPAA Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example in response to a submission or if reclassifying land). a. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 12 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination. c. Council be authorised to use the Minister's plan making functions under sections 59(2), (3) & (4) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. S. ECTION 117 DIRECTIONS – It is recommended that: (a) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with s117 Directions 1.6 Rural Lands; 2.1 Environmental Protection Zone; 2.3 Heritage Conservation; 4.1 Acid Sulfats Doils; and 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies. (b) The planning proposal is potentially inconsistent with the s117 Direction 1.2 Rural Zones, however, the Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the inconsistency is justified by Beg Valley Council's 'Draft Rural Living Strategy' and/or is of Minor significance. (c) The planning proposal is potentially inconsistent with the s117 Direction 1.3 Mining, Petroleum and Extractive Industries , however, the Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the inconsistency is minor significance and support Council's decision to consult with NSW Department of Industry (Resources and Energy). (d) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with s117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection when Council Has consulted with the NSW Rural Fire Service and taken into consideration any comments it makes prior to community consultation. (e) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with all other relevant s110 Directions or that any inconsistencies are only of minor significance. (f) No further consultation or referral is required in relation to s117 Directions while the planning proposal remains in it		
date of the Gateway determination. 5. Council be authorised to use the Minister's plan making functions under sections 59(2), (3) & (4) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. 6. SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS – It is recommended that: (a) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with \$117 Directions 1.5 Rural Lands; 2.1 Environmental Protection Zones; 2.3 Heritage Conservation; 4.1 Acid Sulfate Solis; and 5.1 Implementation of Registrategies. (b) The planning proposal is potentially inconsistent with the \$117 Direction 1.2 Muning, Petroleum and Extractive Industries , however, the Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the inconsistency is of minor significance. (c) The planning proposal is potentially inconsistent with the \$117 Direction 1.3 Mining, Petroleum and Extractive Industries , however, the Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the inconsistency is of minor significance and support Council's decision to consult with NSW Department of Industry (Resources and Energy). (d) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal will be consistent with \$117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection when Council's aconsulted with the NSW Rural Fire Service and taken into consideration any comments it makes prior to community consultation. (e) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with all other relevant \$117 Directions or that any inconsistencies are only of minor significance. (f) No further consultation or referral is required in relation to \$117 Directions while the planning proposal applies appropriate zones and reduces the potential intensity of development to manage environmental and		EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example in response to a submission or if reclassifying
 (3) & (4) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. (3) & (4) of the Environmental Planning proposal is consistent with stirD Pirections 1.5 Rural Landis; 2.1 Environmental Protection Zones; 2.3 Horitage Conservation; 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils; and 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies. (b) The planning proposal is potentially inconsistent with the s117 Direction 1.2 Rural Zones, however, the Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the inconsistency is justified by Bega Valley Council's 'Draft Rural Living Strategy' and/or is of minor significance. (c) The planning proposal is potentially inconsistent with the s117 Direction 1.3 Mining, Petroleum and Extractive industries, however, the Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the inconsistency is in that the inconsistency is of minor significance. (d) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal will be consistent with NSW Department of Industry (Resources and Energy). (d) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal will be consistent with s117 Direction 1.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection when Council has consulted with the NSW Rural Fire Service and taken into consideration any comments it makes prior to community consultation. (e) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with all other relevant s117 Directions or that any inconsistencies are only of minor significance. (f) No further consultation or referral is required in relation to s117 Directions while the planning proposal applies appropriate zones and reduces the potential intensity of development to manage environmental and rural values of the land and minimise land use conflicts with rural residential development. It resolves the future planning for an area of land deferred from Bega Valley LEP 2013. 		
 (a) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with s117 Directions 1.5 Rural Lands; 2.1 Environmental Protection ORegional Strategies. (b) The planning proposal is potentially inconsistent with the s117 Direction 1.2 Rural Zones, however, the Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the inconsistency is justified by Bega Valley Council's 'Draft Rural Living Strategy' and/or is of minor significance. (c) The planning proposal is potentially inconsistent with the s117 Direction 1.3 Mining, Petroleum and Extractive Industries , however, the Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the inconsistency is of minor significance and support Council's decision to consult with NSW Department of Industry (Resources and Energy). (d) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal will be consistent with at 10 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfre Protection when Council has consulted with the NSW Rural Fire Service and taken into consideration any comments it makes prior to community consultation. (e) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with all other relevant s117 Directions or that any inconsistencies are only of minor significance. (f) No further consultation or referral is required in relation to s117 Directions while the planning proposal applies appropriate zones and reduces the potential intensity of development to manage environmental and rural values of the land and minimise land use conflicts with rural residential development. It resolves the future planning for an area of land deferred from Bega Valley LEP 2013. 		
Zones, however, the Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the inconsistency is justified by Bega Valley Council's 'Draft Rural Living Strategy' and/or is of minor significance. (c) The planning proposal is potentially inconsistent with the s117 Direction 1.3 Mining, Petroleum and Extractive Industries, however, the Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the inconsistency is of minor significance and support Council's decision to consult with NSW Department of Industry (Resources and Energy). (d) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal will be consistent with s117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection when Council has consulted with the NSW Rural Fire Service and taken into consideration any comments it makes prior to community consultation. (e) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with all other relevant s117 Directions or that any inconsistencies are only of minor significance. (f) No further consultation or referral is required in relation to s117 Directions while the planning proposal remains in its current form. 7. The Secretary's delegate notes that the planning proposal is considered to be consistent with all relevant SEPPs. Supporting Reasons : The planning proposal applies appropriate zones and reduces the potential intensity of development to manage environmental and rural values of the land and minimise land use conflicts with rural residential development. It resolves the future planning for an area of land deferred from Bega Valley LEP 2013.		(a) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with s117 Directions 1.5 Rural Lands; 2.1 Environmental Protection Zones; 2.3 Heritage
Petroleum and Extractive Industries , however, the Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the inconsistency is of minor significance and support Council's decision to consult with NSW Department of Industry (Resources and Energy). (d) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal will be consistent with \$117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection when Council has consulted with the NSW Rural Fire Service and taken into consideration any comments it makes prior to community consultation. (e) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with all other relevant \$117 Directions or that any inconsistencies are only of minor significance. (f) No further consultation or referral is required in relation to \$117 Directions while the planning proposal remains in its current form. 7. The Secretary's delegate notes that the planning proposal is considered to be consistent with all relevant SEPPs. Supporting Reasons : The planning proposal applies appropriate zones and reduces the potential intensity of development to manage environmental and rural values of the land and minimise land use conflicts with rural residential development. It resolves the future planning for an area of land deferred from Bega Valley LEP 2013.		Zones, however, the Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the inconsistency is justified by Bega Valley Council's 'Draft Rural Living Strategy' and/or is of minor
with \$117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection when Council has consulted with the NSW Rural Fire Service and taken into consideration any comments it makes prior to community consultation. (e) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with all other relevant \$117 Directions or that any inconsistencies are only of minor significance. (f) No further consultation or referral is required in relation to \$117 Directions while the planning proposal remains in its current form. 7. The Secretary's delegate notes that the planning proposal is considered to be consistent with all relevant SEPPs. Supporting Reasons : The planning proposal applies appropriate zones and reduces the potential intensity of development to manage environmental and rural values of the land and minimise land use conflicts with rural residential development. It resolves the future planning for an area of land deferred from Bega Valley LEP 2013. Signature:		Petroleum and Extractive Industries , however, the Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the inconsistency is of minor significance and support Council's decision to consult
all other relevant \$117 Directions or that any inconsistencies are only of minor significance. (f) No further consultation or referral is required in relation to \$117 Directions while the planning proposal remains in its current form. 7. The Secretary's delegate notes that the planning proposal is considered to be consistent with all relevant SEPPs. Supporting Reasons : The planning proposal applies appropriate zones and reduces the potential intensity of development to manage environmental and rural values of the land and minimise land use conflicts with rural residential development. It resolves the future planning for an area of land deferred from Bega Valley LEP 2013. Signature:		with s117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection when Council has consulted with the NSW Rural Fire Service and taken into consideration any comments it makes prior to
planning proposal remains in its current form. 7. The Secretary's delegate notes that the planning proposal is considered to be consistent with all relevant SEPPs. Supporting Reasons : The planning proposal applies appropriate zones and reduces the potential intensity of development to manage environmental and rural values of the land and minimise land use conflicts with rural residential development. It resolves the future planning for an area of land deferred from Bega Valley LEP 2013. Signature:		all other relevant s117 Directions or that any inconsistencies are only of minor
Supporting Reasons : The planning proposal applies appropriate zones and reduces the potential intensity of development to manage environmental and rural values of the land and minimise land use conflicts with rural residential development. It resolves the future planning for an area of land deferred from Bega Valley LEP 2013. Signature:		
development to manage environmental and rural values of the land and minimise land use conflicts with rural residential development. It resolves the future planning for an area of land deferred from Bega Valley LEP 2013.		
Signature:	Supporting Reasons :	development to manage environmental and rural values of the land and minimise land
		It resolves the future planning for an area of land deferred from Bega Valley LEP 2013.
		- ta
Printed Name: Decanne Franke Date: 14/7/16	Signature:	
	Printed Name:	Jeanne Franke Date: 14/7/16